

D-TERM INFLATION: THE GOOD, THE BAD AND THE UGLY^a

A. RIOTTO

*Theoretical Physics Department, University of Oxford,
1 Keble Road, OX1 3NP, Oxford, UK.*

An inflationary stage dominated by a D -term avoids the slow-roll problem of inflation in supergravity and can naturally emerge in theories with a non-anomalous or anomalous $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. In this talk advantages and disadvantages of D -term inflation are discussed.

Prologo. It is by now commonly accepted that inflation looks more natural in supersymmetric theories rather in non-supersymmetric ones. This is because the necessity of introducing very small parameters to ensure the extreme flatness of the inflaton potential seems very unnatural and fine-tuned in most non-supersymmetric theories, while this naturalness is achieved in supersymmetric models. The nonrenormalization theorems in exact global supersymmetry guarantee that we can fine-tune any parameter at the tree-level and this fine-tuning will not be destabilized by radiative corrections at any order in perturbation theory. This is the advantage of invoking supersymmetry. There is, however, a severe problem one has to face when dealing with inflation model building in the context of supersymmetric theories. The generalization of supersymmetry from a global to a local symmetry automatically incorporates gravity and, therefore, inflation model building must be considered in the framework of supergravity theories. The supergravity potential is rather involved, but it can still be written as a D -term plus an F -term, and it is usually supposed that the D -term vanishes during inflation. Now, for models where the D -term vanishes, the slow-roll parameter $\eta = M_{\text{Pl}}^2 V''/V$ generically receives various contributions of order ± 1 . This is the so-called η -problem of supergravity theories^{1,2}. In supergravity theories, supersymmetry breaking is transmitted by gravity interactions and the squared mass of the inflaton becomes naturally of order of $V/M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \sim H^2$. The perturbative renormalization of the Kähler potential is therefore crucial for the inflationary dynamics due to a non-zero energy density which breaks supersymmetry spontaneously during inflation. How severe the problem is depends on the magnitude of η and

^aBased on the invited plenary talk given at the *COSMO97* Conference, Ambleside, England, September 15-19 1997 and on the talk given at the *Phenomenological Aspects of Superstring Theories* (PAST97) Conferences, ICTP Trieste, Italy, October 2-4 1997. Preprint OUTP-97-55-P.

it is crucial to notice that the amount of fine-tuning needed is directly indicated by the present data on the cosmic microwave background anisotropy. Indeed, having η not too small requires that the spectral index $n = 1 - 6\epsilon + 2\eta$ ($\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}M_{\text{Pl}}^2(V'/V)^2$ is another slow-roll parameter) be not too small, so the observational bound $|n - 1| < 0.3$ is already beginning to make an accident look quite unlikely. Several proposals to solve the η -problem already exist in the literature and we refer the interested reader to the long review³ for a complete list and discussion. In this talk, we will restrict ourselves to what we believe is the most promising solution: D -term inflation^{4,5,6}. D -term inflation is based on the observation that η gets contributions of order one only if inflation proceeds along a D -flat direction or, in other words, when the vacuum energy density is dominated by an F -term. On the contrary, if the vacuum energy density is dominated by nonzero D -terms and supersymmetry breaking is of the D -type, scalars get supersymmetry soft breaking masses which depend only on their gauge charges. Scalars charged under the corresponding gauge symmetry obtain a mass much larger than H , while gauge singlet fields can only get masses from loop gauge interactions. In particular, if the inflaton field is identified with a gauge singlet, its potential may be flat up to loop corrections and supergravity corrections to η from the F -terms are not present.

How it works. If the theory contains an abelian $U(1)$ gauge symmetry (anomalous or not), the Fayet-Iliopoulos D -term term $\xi \int d^4\theta V = \xi D$ is gauge invariant and therefore allowed by the symmetries. It may lead to D -type supersymmetry breaking. It is important to notice that 1) this term may be present in the underlying theory from the very beginning; 2) it may appear in the effective theory after some heavy degrees of freedom have been integrated out; 3) it looks particularly intriguing that an anomalous $U(1)$ symmetry is usually present in string theories⁷. The corresponding Fayet-Iliopoulos term is⁸ $\xi = \frac{g^2}{192\pi^2} \text{Tr} \mathbf{Q} M_{\text{Pl}}^2$, where $\text{Tr} \mathbf{Q} \neq 0$ indicates the trace over the $U(1)$ charges of the fields present in the spectrum of the theory. The $U(1)$ group may be assumed to emerge from string theories so that the anomaly is cancelled by the Green-Schwarz mechanism. In such a case $\sqrt{\xi}$ is expected to be of the order of the stringy scale, $(10^{17} - 10^{18})$ GeV or so. Let us briefly remind the reader how D -term inflation proceeds^{4,5}. To exemplify the description, let us take the toy model containing three chiral superfields S , Φ_+ and Φ_- with charges equal to 0, +1 and -1 respectively under the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. The superpotential has the form $W = \lambda S \Phi_+ \Phi_-$. The scalar potential in the global supersymmetry limit reads

$$V = \lambda^2 |S|^2 (|\phi_-|^2 + |\phi_+|^2) + \lambda^2 |\phi_+ \phi_-|^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} (|\phi_+|^2 - |\phi_-|^2 + \xi)^2 \quad (1)$$

where $\phi_{\pm}$ are the scalar fields of the supermultiplets $\Phi_{\pm}$, g is the gauge coupling and $\xi > 0$ is a Fayet-Iliopoulos D -term. The global minimum is supersymmetry conserving, but the gauge group $U(1)$ is spontaneously broken, $\langle S \rangle = \langle \phi_+ \rangle = 0$, $\langle \phi_- \rangle = \sqrt{\xi}$. However, if we minimize the potential, for fixed values of S , with respect to other fields, we find that for $S > S_c = \frac{g}{\lambda}\sqrt{\xi}$, the minimum is at $\phi_+ = \phi_- = 0$. Thus, for $S > S_c$ and $\phi_+ = \phi_- = 0$ the tree level potential has a vanishing curvature in the S direction and large positive curvature in the remaining two directions $m_{\pm}^2 = \lambda^2|S|^2 \pm g^2\xi$. For arbitrarily large S the tree level value of the potential remains constant $V = \frac{g^2}{2}\xi^2$ and the S plays the role of the inflaton. As stated above, the charged fields get very large masses due to the D -term supersymmetry breaking, whereas the gauge singlet field is massless at the tree-level. What is crucial is that, along the inflationary trajectory $\phi_{\pm} = 0$, $S \gg S_c$, all the F -terms vanish and large supergravity corrections to the η -parameter do *not* appear.

The one-loop effective potential for the inflaton field reads $V_{1\text{-loop}} = \frac{g^2}{2}\xi^2 \left(1 + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \ln \frac{\lambda^2|S|^2}{Q^2}\right)$. The end of inflation is determined either by the failure of the slow-roll conditions or when S approaches S_c . COBE imposes the following normalization $5.3 \times 10^{-4} = \frac{V^{3/2}}{V' M_{\text{Pl}}^3}$. This gives with the above potential $\sqrt{\xi_{\text{COBE}}} = 6.6 \times 10^{15}$ GeV. Notice that this normalization is *independent* from the gauge coupling constant g . The spectral index results $n = 1 - \frac{2}{N} = (0.96 - 0.98)$.

Advantages and disadvantages. Now, are we happy with such a COBE normalized value of ξ ? The answer depends upon the origin of the FI D -term. Let us distinguish three different options:

★ *The Ugly:* Since the FI D -term is not forbidden by any symmetry, it may be put *by hand* in the theory from the very beginning. If one is ready to take such step, successful D -term inflation scenarios in the framework of supersymmetric GUT's have been already constructed⁹.

★ *The Bad:* The D -term is generated in some low-energy effective theory after some degrees of freedom have been integrated out. However, to do so, one has presumably to break supersymmetry by some F -terms present in the sector which the heavy fields belong to and to generate the D -term by loop corrections. As a result, it turns out that $\langle D \rangle \ll \langle F^2 \rangle$, unless some fine-tuning is called for, and large supergravity corrections to η appear again.

★ *The Good:* The Fayet-Iliopoulos term is the one emerging from string theories and the $U(1)$ anomaly is cancelled by the Green-Schwarz mechanism. This is certainly a positive aspect. Let us discuss some other aspects of such intriguing possibility: 1) since in realistic models there appear a plethora of fields and many of them charged under the anomalous $U(1)$, one has always to

check that during inflation there is no field which is allowed to take expectation value of the order of $\sqrt{\xi}$, thus making the D -term vanishing and stopping inflation. This analysis seems to be missing in the literature; 2) in string theories the FI D -term is dilaton-dependent and we do not know how the dilaton field behaves during the inflationary stage. A common assumption is that some mechanism stabilizes the dilaton and that the latter is reduced to a frozen degree of freedom during inflation. This assumption might turn out to be completely wrong; 3) the value of $\sqrt{\xi}$ is expected to be of the order of the stringy scale, $(10^{17} - 10^{18})$ GeV or so. This value is at least one order of magnitude higher than the COBE normalized one. How can we solve this problem? One possible solution to the mismatch between the stringy and the COBE scales is to go to the strong coupling limit of the heterotic $E_8 \otimes E_8$ string theory compactified on a CY manifold and described by the 11-D M-theory compactified on $CY \times S^1/Z_2$ ¹⁰. Here the fundamental scale is the mass scale M_{11} associated to the extra 11th dimension. This scale may be much smaller than M_{Pl} . However, it is not clear whether M_{Pl} will be replaced by M_{11} in the expression for the FI D -term and, if so, whether this will be the only change in the theory; 4) another point we would like to comment on is the following: when the field ϕ_- in the toy model described above rolls down to its present day value $\langle \phi_- \rangle = \sqrt{\xi}$ to terminate inflation, cosmic strings may be form since the abelian gauge group $U(1)$ is broken to unity. As it is known, stable cosmic strings arise when the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ of degenerate vacua has a non-trivial first homotopy group, $\Pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \neq 1$. The fact that at the end of hybrid inflationary models the formation of cosmic strings may occur was already noticed in the context of global supersymmetric theories¹¹ and in the context of supergravity theories¹². In D -term inflation the string per-unit-length is given by $\mu = 2\pi\xi$. Cosmic strings forming at the end of D -term inflation are very heavy and temperature anisotropies may arise both from the inflationary dynamics and from the presence of cosmic strings. From recent numerical simulations on the cosmic microwave background anisotropies induced by cosmic strings¹³ it is possible to infer that this mixed-perturbation scenario¹² leads to the COBE normalized value $\sqrt{\xi} = 4.7 \times 10^{15}$ GeV, which is of course smaller than the value obtained in the absence of cosmic strings. Moreover, cosmic strings contribute to the angular spectrum an amount of order of 75% in D -term inflation, which might render the angular spectrum, when both cosmic strings and inflation contributions are summed up, too smooth to be in agreement with present day observations¹³. All these considerations and, above all, the fact that the value of $\sqrt{\xi}$ is further reduced with respect to the case in which cosmic strings are not present, would appear to exacerbate the problem of reconciling the value of $\sqrt{\xi}$ suggested by COBE with the value inspired by

string theories when cosmic strings are present. However, even though cosmic strings are generally produced, this is not always true and the question is very model-dependent. Indeed, in string theory model building there appear often many abelian factors and the issue of cosmic string formation must be analyzed case by case. Of course, the most preferable case is the one in which cosmic strings do not form at all. In conclusion, an inflationary stage dominated by a D -term avoids the slow-roll problem of inflation in supergravity and can naturally emerge in theories with a non-anomalous or anomalous $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. In the latter case, however, the scale of inflation as imposed by the COBE normalization is in contrast with the value fixed by the Green-Schwarz mechanism of anomaly cancellation. This makes life even more interesting!

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank M. Dine, E. Dudas, G. Dvali, D.H. Lyth, M. Quiros, G.G. Ross for many enlightening discussions about different aspects of the subject. It is also a pleasure to thank the organizers of the COSMO97 Conference for the stimulating atmosphere they have been able to create.

References

1. E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, D. H. Lyth, E. D. Stewart and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6410 (1994).
2. M. Dine, W. Fischler and D. Nemeschansky, Phys. Lett. **136B**, 169 (1984); G. D. Coughlan, R. Holman, P. Ramond and G. G. Ross, Phys. Lett. **140B**, 44 (1984).
3. D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, *Models of inflation, particle physics and the spectral index of the density perturbations*, to be submitted to Phys. Rep.
4. P. Binetruy and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. **B388**, 241 (1996).
5. E. Halyo, Phys. Lett. **B387**, 43 (1996).
6. D.H. Lyth and A. Riotto, hep-ph/9707273.
7. M. Green and J. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. **B149**, 117 (1984).
8. M. Dine, N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B289**, 585 (1987); J. Atick, L. Dixon and A. Sen, Nucl. Phys. **B292**, 109 (1987); M. Dine, I. Ichinose and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. **B293**, 253 (1987).
9. G. Dvali and A. Riotto, hep-ph/9706408.
10. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B471**, 135 (1996).
11. R. Jeannerot, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 5426 (1996).
12. A. D. Linde and A. Riotto, hep-ph/9703209, to be published in Phys. Rev. **D**.

13. B. Allen, R.R. Caldwell, S. Dodelson, L. Knox, E.P.S. Shellard and A. Stebbins, astro-ph/9704160; Ue-Li Pen, U. Seljak and N. Turok, astro-ph/9704165.